

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,884	01/07/2000	KAZUYUKI KURODA	35.C14215	2326
5514	7590 08/16/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	4		Ses			
A	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/478,884	KURODA ET AL.				
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Catherine Simone	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this app i) a timely filed amendment w	plication. A proper reply which places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the m	ailing date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or sin	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claim	IS.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23, 48-52 and 55-57</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disa	approved by the Exami	iner.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. ☐ Other: See Attachment

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/478,884





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 13, 48, 50 and 55 by adding proposed new limitation "a first region having a polymeric surface; and a second region provided on the polymeric surface of the first region, the second region" which requires a new search and/or further consideration..

Application/Control Number: 09/478,884

Art Unit: 1772

Advisory Action

Applicant's arguments filed July 22, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments are drawn to a proposed claim amendment which is not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's argument drawn to the limitation "a first region having a polymeric surface; and a second region provided on the polymeric surface of the first region, the second region having tubular mespores" has not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendment. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record in Paper #12, mailed on May 20, 2002.

HAROLD PYON RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 2

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER